

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/734,949 | BARTON ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | CUONG H. NGUYEN | 3661 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) CUONG H. NGUYEN. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. David H. Tannenbaum (Reg. No. 24,745). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 October 2005

Time: 11:30 a.m.

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

USPTO receives no response for an Office Action mailed on 3/25/2005; therefore, this case is automatically in ABANDON status. Please call the examiner of the record (571-272-6759) if there is any further question.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)